

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,922		06/26/2003	Kazuhiko Kakegawa	0038-0412P	4341
2292	7590	09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		T KOLASCH &	LE, DANG D		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Í			2834	
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/603,922	KAKEGAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dang D Le	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	his action is non-final.						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Intention Summer	(PTO 412)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5)  Notice of Informal F  6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/603,922 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the words "comprises" at lines 2 and 5. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. In addition, the reference numeral 32 for plating metal in page 7, second paragraph, at line 2 should be replaced as 23 --.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 10-14 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ookouchi et al. (5,538,558).

Regarding claim 1, Ookouchi et al. shows a shaft/bearing, comprising:

- A shaft proper (34, Figure 3); and
- A sliding section (32) being provided to said section including carbon nano fibers/tubes (100 nanometers to 10,000 nanometers, column 8, lines 5-10).

Regarding claims 2-8, 10-14 and 16-22, it is noted that Ookouchi et al. also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

In addition, it is noted that the preamble in claims 19-22 is not given patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,922 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ookouchi et al. in view of Tanaka et al. (5,289,067).

Regarding claims 9 and 15, Ookouchi et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the resin.

Tanaka et al. uses resin and carbon fibers for the purpose of reducing vibration.

Since Ookouchi et al. and Tanaka et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,922

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use resin and carbon fibers as taught by Tanaka et al. for the purpose discussed above.

8. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asai et al. (6,074,098) in view of Ookouchi et al.

Regarding claims 1-22, Asai et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the carbon nano fibers/tubes.

Ookouchi et al. uses carbon nano tubes/fibers for the purpose of sustaining a high load.

Since Asai et al. and Ookouchi et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use carbon nano fibers/tubes as taught by Ookouchi et al. for the purpose discussed above.

#### Information on How to Contact USPTO

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/603,922 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/17/04

DANG LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER